



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/073,291	02/13/2002	Mitsuhiro Shoda	uhiro Shoda NIT-325			
24956 75	24956 7590 11/20/2003			EXAMINER .		
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TUPPER, ROBERT S			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2652			
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/073,29	1	SHODA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Robert S T		2652	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this column of the period for reply specified above is less than thirthing the period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for real than the period fo	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve mmunication. ((30) days, a reply within the statu n statutory period will apply and wil ply will, by statute, cause the appli as after the mailing date of this con	ent, however, may a reply be til utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>13 February 200</u>	<u>)2</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	∂) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requ	irement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any ob-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	ing the correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected	I to by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorical Copies of the certified copies 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office act 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first see	f: Ity documents have been Ity documents have been Ity documents have been Its of the priority docume Itional Bureau (PCT Rule Ition for a list of the certif In for domestic priority und It ded in the first sentence Itanguage provisional apply In for domestic priority und	n received. n received in Applicatents have been receive 17.2(a)). fied copies not received at 35 U.S.C. § 119(of the specification of the specification of the specification of the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National ed. (e) (to a provisional r in an Application ceived. O and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO_413) Paper No.	'el				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice of Informal 6						

Application/Control Number: 10/073,291

Art Unit: 2652

- 1. It is requested that Applicant thoroughly revise the specification and claims to conform with idiomatic English. At present, the specification is awkward and confusing, and the claims are unclear. Phraseology such as "apparatus of a system supplying lubricant" and "components-in-apparatus" are unclear. Further claim 6 does not present the chemical formula properly. It would be very helpful if these problems were corrected before the first Office Action on the merits.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, drawn to a magnetic disk drive, classified in class 360, subclass 97.01.
 - Claim 7, drawn to a method of making a disk drive, classified in class 29, subclass 603.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the disk drive of Group I can be made using a materially different method – e.g. any of the known non-hand, "machine" coating techniques.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,291

Art Unit: 2652

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Mattingly on 11/17/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

· Application/Control Number: 10/073,291

Art Unit: 2652

 $x^2 \leftarrow \Delta$

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3054750.

R.S. T.M.M.

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst